# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,633	03/09/2004	Yung-Tsun Hsieh	10113911	2782
34283	7590 08/10/2006		EXAMINER	
	O LAW OFFICE	DEANE JR, WILLIAM J		
	DWAY, 3RD FLOOR NICA, CA 90404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2614	
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/796,633	HSIEH, YUNG-TSUN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William J. Deane	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 M	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	,	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/796,633

Art Unit: 2614

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Allowable Subject Matter**

Claims 3 - 5 and 8 - 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and the submission of a proper Terminal Disclaimer.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1- 16 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 17 - 31 of copending Application No. 2006/0075603. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Claims 1 – 15 of the

Application/Control Number: 10/796,633

Art Unit: 2614

instant application are almost identical to claims 17 – 31 of co-pending Application No. 2006/0075603.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-2, 6-7, 11-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,665,906 (Li).

With respect to claim 1, Li discloses a hinge comprising a base (1, 2), an elastic member (33), a first cam (one of 32), second cam (11), and third cam (other of 32).

The second cam (11) abuts the first and third cams and is blocked by the third cam.

With respect to claim 2, Li discloses the first and second cams to have first and second curved surfaces, respectively (surfaces with engaging teeth). The first curved surface has first and second parallel portions (on teeth) and the second curved surface includes third and fourth parallel surfaces (on teeth of 11).

Application/Control Number: 10/796,633

Art Unit: 2614

With respect to claim 6, Li disclose a first fixed coupler (323) opposite the first curved surface on the first cam.

With respect to claim 7, Li discloses the second and third cams to have third and fourth curved surfaces, respectively (surfaces with engaging teeth). The third curved surface has thirteenth and fourteenth parallel portions (on teeth) and the fourth curved surface includes fifteenth and sixteenth parallel surfaces (on teeth of 11).

With respect to claim 11, Li discloses the third cam (32) to include a second fixed coupler (323, right side of figure 1) opposite the fourth curved surface.

With respect to claim 12, Li discloses the base to comprise a bottom portion (4) on which the elastic member is disposed and a shaft (30) passing though the first, second and third cams.

With respect to claim 13, Li discloses each cam to include a through hole, through which the shaft (30) passes and are disposed on the bottom portion.

With respect to claim 15, Li discloses the elastic member to be a spring.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,948,217 (Higano).

Application/Control Number: 10/796,633 Page 5

Art Unit: 2614

With respect to claim 14, Li fails to disclose the fourth and fifth through holes with a pin. Higano teaches a hinge arrangement including a shaft member (1) with a hole and a cam member (6) with another through hole. A pin (7) passes through both through holes to secure the cam member to the base. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Li as taught by Higano in order to secure the first cam member to the base.

With respect to claim 16, note the rejections above.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bill Deane whose telephone number is (571) 272-7484. In addition, facsimile transmissions should be directed to Bill Deane at facsimile number (571) 273-8300.

05Aug2006

PRIMARY EXAMINER